

2004 Pacific Northwest Snowfighters Conference Evaluation

1.	Overall, this				3 51		
	Average Rate:						
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
	52.20%	47.05%	0.73%	0.00%			
2.	How did you like the facility? Average Rate:						
	Percentage of evaluators that responded						
	Excellent 60.58%	Good 39.41%	Fair 0.00%	Poor 0.00%			
3.	How did you	u like the loc	ation?				
					99.27%		
		rcentage of how					
	Excellent 57.35%	Good 38.97%	Fair 3.67%	Poor 0.00%			
4.		u like the me			0.00		
	•						
					100%		
	Excellent	rcentage of how Good	Fair	Poor			
	47.44%	43.06%	9.48%	0.00%			
5.		u like the Ke					
	Average Rate:				3.65		
					97.01/6		
	Breakdown per	rcentage of how	evaluators resp	onded	97.01/6		
					97.01%		
6	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67%	rcentage of how Good 33.58%	evaluators resp Fair 0.74%	onded Poor	97.01 /6		
6.	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?:	onded Poor 0.00%			
6.	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate:	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?:	onded Poor 0.00%	3.17		
6.	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: responded	onded Poor 0.00%	3.17		
6.	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: responded	onded Poor 0.00%	3.17		
6.	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r centage of how	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: respondedresponded responded	onded Poor 0.00%	3.17		
 7. 	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Excellent 31.38%	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r rcentage of how Good 54.74%	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: respondedevaluators resp Fair 13.13%	onded Poor 0.00% onded Poor	3.17 100%		
	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Excellent 31.38% The 2004 Pl Average Rate:	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r rcentage of how Good 54.74% NS Snow Col	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: respondedevaluators resp Fair 13.13%	onded Poor 0.00% onded Poor 0.72% s important for my bu	3.17 100% usiness: 3.51		
	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Excellent 31.38% The 2004 Pl Average Rate:	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r rcentage of how Good 54.74% NS Snow Col	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: respondedevaluators resp Fair 13.13%	onded Poor 0.00% onded Poor 0.72% s important for my bu	3.17 100% usiness: 3.51		
	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Excellent 31.38% The 2004 PI Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Breakdown pe	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r rcentage of how Good 54.74% NS Snow Cor evaluators that r rcentage of how	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: respondedevaluators resp Fair 13.13% nference was respondedresp	onded Poor 0.00% onded Poor 0.72% s important for my bu onded	3.17 100% usiness: 3.51		
	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Excellent 31.38% The 2004 PI Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Strongly Agree	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r rcentage of how Good 54.74% NS Snow Cor evaluators that r rcentage of how Agree	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: respondedevaluators resp Fair 13.13% nference was respondedevaluators resp Disagree	onded Poor 0.00% onded Poor 0.72% s important for my bu onded Strongly Disagree	3.17 100% usiness: 3.51		
	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Excellent 31.38% The 2004 PI Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Strongly Agree 52.59%	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r rcentage of how Good 54.74% NS Snow Con evaluators that r rcentage of how Agree 45.92%	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: responded evaluators resp Fair 13.13% nference was responded evaluators resp Disagree 1.48%	onded Poor 0.00% onded Poor 0.72% s important for my bu onded Strongly Disagree 0.00%	3.17 100% usiness: 3.51 98.54%		
	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Excellent 31.38% The 2004 PI Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Strongly Agree 52.59% The 2004 PI	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r rcentage of how Good 54.74% NS Snow Con evaluators that r rcentage of how Agree 45.92% NS Snow Con	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: responded evaluators resp Fair 13.13% nference was responded evaluators resp Disagree 1.48%	onded Poor 0.00% onded Poor 0.72% s important for my bu onded Strongly Disagree 0.00% ered great networking			
7.	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Excellent 31.38% The 2004 PI Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Strongly Agree 52.59% The 2004 PI Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Strongly Agree 52.59%	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r rcentage of how Good 54.74% NS Snow Con evaluators that r rcentage of how Agree 45.92% NS Snow Con NS Snow Con NS Snow Con NS Snow Con Evaluators that r	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: respondedevaluators resp Fair 13.13% nference was respondedevaluators resp Disagree 1.48%	onded Poor 0.00% onded Poor 0.72% s important for my bu onded Strongly Disagree 0.00% ered great networking			
7.	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Excellent 31.38% The 2004 Pl Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Strongly Agree 52.59% The 2004 Pl Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Strongly Agree 52.59%	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r rcentage of how Good 54.74% NS Snow Con evaluators that r rcentage of how Agree 45.92% NS Snow Con NS Snow Con NS Snow Con NS Snow Con Evaluators that r	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: responded evaluators resp Fair 13.13% responded responded evaluators resp Disagree 1.48% responded pricesponded	onded Poor 0.00% onded Poor 0.72% s important for my bu onded Strongly Disagree 0.00% ered great networking			
7.	Breakdown per Excellent 65.67% How did you Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Excellent 31.38% The 2004 Pl Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Strongly Agree 52.59% The 2004 Pl Average Rate: Percentage of Breakdown per Strongly Agree 52.59%	rcentage of how Good 33.58% u like the Tra evaluators that r rcentage of how Good 54.74% NS Snow Con evaluators that r rcentage of how Agree 45.92% NS Snow Con evaluators that r	evaluators resp Fair 0.74% adeshow?: responded evaluators resp Fair 13.13% responded responded evaluators resp Disagree 1.48% responded pricesponded	onded Poor 0.00% onded Poor 0.72% s important for my bu onded Strongly Disagree 0.00% ered great networking			

9. The 2004 PNS Snow Conference offered tools to do my job more effectively...: Average Rate: 3.35 Percentage of evaluators that responded99.27% Breakdown percentage of how evaluators responded Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree 38.23% 52.55% 1.47% 0.73% 10. The 2004 PNS Snow Conference was a good value for the money...: Breakdown percentage of how evaluators responded Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Agree Disagree 40.00% 57.03% 2.22% 11. The 2004 PNS Snow Conference is worth attending again...: Average Rate: 3.52 Breakdown percentage of how evaluators responded Stronaly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree 45.58% 0.00% 0.73% 53.67% 12. The 2004 PNS Snow Conference is worth recommending to others... Average Rate: 3.54 Breakdown percentage of how evaluators responded Strongly Agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree 42.64% 55.88% 0.73% 0.73% Please list your favorite session(s):

13.

PNS Open Meeting	3
Opening General Session	2
Permanent Automated Anti-Icing Systems	1
MDSS Maintenance Decision Support System	6
Environmental Concerns for 2004 and Beyond	2
An Automated Real-Time Weather System	5
Salt Pilot Project	10
Outsourcing Maintenance/Maintenance Mgt	10
State-of-the-Art Simulator for Snowplow Drivers	7
Snow Fighting Equipment	9
PDA's WSDOT Winter Application	3
Brine Making 101/Blending Products	13
. TI II II II OKL II II	111

Weather Workshop: Which Forecast is Best?	3
Anti-Icing Rates & Application Workshop	11
Chemical Characteristics and Selection Workshop	14
How to Minimize Liability Risk Mgt	16
Winter Severity Index	2
Proactive Communication Strategy for Winter Ops	22
The Motoring Public & Snow/Ice Operators get	3
Proactive	
Alaska's Technologies for Winter Maintenance	34
Computer Based Training on RWIS & Anti-Icing	6
GPS/AVL Technologies	10

- The weather guy did OK but training would have helped
- Enjoyed the deicer class (what would you do problems). Like to see more of this
- Out of the sessions attended on Thursday, Outsourcing Maintenance. I don't agree with Grant regarding the current status of BC level of service. In my opinion it has gotten worse.

Please list your favorite speakers: 14.

Barbara Babic	4
Rico Baroga	6
Ed Boselly	6
Ingrid Brakop	5
Phil Breuser	1
Dennis Burkheimer	9
Chris Christopher	3
Tom Clay	3
Graham Gilfillan	3
Purdy Hulman	24
Grant Lachmuth	25

Tim Leggett	8
George Levasseur	29
Steve Miller	2
Wilfrid Nixon	30
Steve Spoor	2
Leland Smithson	5
Sandy Stephens	1
Ross Shafer	26
Don Stuber	1
Dan Williams	8

- I loved the show Ross Shafer, he would have been great to have a customer service section
- All the speakers were good
- Purdy Hulman could turn a dark day into sunshine